

## DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Acar, O. & Patton, R. (2012). Argumentation and formal reasoning skills in an argumentation-based guided inquiry course. *Journal Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 46 (2012), pp. 4756 – 4760.
- Akkuş, R., Günel, M., & Hand, B. (2007). Comparing an inquiry-based approach known as the science writing heuristic to traditional science teaching practices: Are there differences? *International Journal of Science Education*, 29(14), pp. 1745-1765.
- Akpınar, Y., Ardac, D., & Amuce, E. N. (2013). Development and validation of an argumentation based multimedia science learning environment: Preliminary finding. *Journal Procedia Social and Behaviour Science*, 116 (2014). pp. 3848-3853.
- Amin, M. (2015). *Penerapan model pembelajaran argument driven inquiry (ADI) dalam pembelajaran fisika untuk meningkatkan kemampuan berargumentasi, penalaran ilmiah dan kognitif siswa SMA*. (Tesis). Sekolah Pascasarjana, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Bandung.
- Anderson, L. W. & Krathwohl, D. R. (2010). *Kerangka landasan untuk pembelajaran, pengajaran, dan asesmen revisi taksonomi pendidikan bloom*. Yogyakarta : Pustaka Pelajar
- Andriani, Y. & Riandi. (2015). Peningkatan penguasaan konsep siswa melalui pembelajaran argument driven inquiry pada pembelajaran IPA terpadu di SMP kelas VII. *The Natural Science Education, Biology Education, Physics Education and Chemistry Education Journal EDUSAINS*, 7(2), pp. 115-120. <http://dx.doi.org/10.15408/es.v7i2.1578>
- Arikunto, S. (2013a). *Dasar-dasar evaluasi pendidikan*. (edisi kedua). Jakarta: Bumi Aksara.
- Arikunto, S. (2013b). *Prosedur penelitian suatu pendekatan praktik*. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta.
- Aslan, S. (2016). Is learning by teaching effective in gaining 21st century skills? The views of pre-service science teachers. *Journal Educational Science. Theory & Practic*, 16(1), pp. 1-17
- Aufschnaiter, C., Erduran, S., Osborne, J., & Simon, S. (2008). Arguing to learn and learning to argue: Case studies of how students' argumentation relates to their scientific knowledge. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 45(1), pp. 101-131.

Jasmi Roza, 2017

**PENERAPAN ARGUMENT DRIVEN INQUIRY BERBANTUAN ARGUMENT MAPS UNTUK MENINGKATKAN KEMAMPUAN KOGNITIF DAN MENGIDENTIFIKASI LEVEL ARGUMENTASI SISWA MA PADA MATERI GERAK HARMONIK SEDERHANA**

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

- Bekiroglu, F. O. & Aydeniz, M. (2013). Enhancing pre-service physics teachers' perceived self-efficacy of argumentation-based pedagogy through modelling and mastery experience. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science & Technology Education*, 9(3), pp. 233-245.
- Bell, P. & Linn, M.C. (2000). Scientific Argument as learning artifacts: Designing for learning from the web with KIE. *International Journal of Science Education*, 22(8), pp. 797-817.
- Billing, D.M. & Kowalski, K. (2008). Argument mapping. *The Journal of Continuing in Nursing*, 39(6), pp. 246-247.
- Brickman, P., Gormally, C., Armstrong, N., & Hallar, B. (2009). Effect of inquiry based learning on students' science literacy skill and confidence. *International Journal for the scholarship of teaching and Learning*, 3(2), pp. 1-22.
- Burke, K. A., Hand, P., Poack, J., & Greenbowe, T. (2005). Using the science writing heuristic. *Journal of College Science Teaching*, 35(1), pp. 36-41.
- Butchart, S., Forster, D., Gold, I., Bigelow, J., Korb, K., Oppy, G., & Serrenti, A. (2009). Improving critical thinking using web based argument mapping exercises with automated feedback. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 26(2), pp. 268-291.
- Chang, S.N. & Chiu, M.H. (2008). Lactos's scientific research: programmes as a framework for analyzing informal argumentation about socioscientific issues. *International Journal of Science Education*, 30(17), pp. 1753-1773.
- Choi, A., Hand, B., & Greenbowe, T. (2012). Students' written arguments in general chemistry laboratory investigation. *Springer*. [DOI.10.1007/s11165-012-9330-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-012-9330-1)
- Choi, A., Klein, V., & Hersberger, S. (2015). Success, difficulty, and instructional strategy to enact an argument-based inquiry Approach: experiences of elementary teachers. *International Journal of Science & Mathematics Education*, 13(5), pp. 991-1011.
- Coban, U.G. (2013). The effects of inquiry supported by argument maps on science process skills and epistemological views of prospective science teachers. *Journal of Baltic Science Education*, 12(3), pp. 271-288.
- Demircioglu, T. & Ucar, S. (2012). The effect of argument-driven inquiry on pre-service science teachers' attitudes and argumentation skill. *Journal Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 46(2012), pp. 5035-5039.

Jasmi Roza, 2017

**PENERAPAN ARGUMENT DRIVEN INQUIRY BERBANTUAN ARGUMENT MAPS UNTUK MENINGKATKAN KEMAMPUAN KOGNITIF DAN MENGIDENTIFIKASI LEVEL ARGUMENTASI SISWA MA PADA MATERI GERAK HARMONIK SEDERHANA**

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

- Demircioglu, T. & Ucar, S. (2015). Investigating the effect of argument-driven inquiry in laboratory instruction. *Educational Science: Theory & Practice Journal*. 15(1), pp. 267-283.
- Davies, M. (2011). Concept mapping, mind mapping, and argument mapping: What are the different and do the matter?. *Journal High Edu Springer*, pp. 279-301.
- Driver, R., Newton, P., & Osborne, J. (2000). Establishing the norms of scientific argumentation in classrooms. *Science Education*, 84, pp. 287-312.
- Dwyer, C.P, Hogan, M. J., & Stewart, I. (2012). An evaluation of argument mapping as a methode of enhancing critical thinking performance in e-learning environment. *Journal Springer*, Pp. 219-244.
- Dwyer, C.P., Hogan, M. J., & Stewart, I. (2013). An argumentation of the effect of argument mapping on students' memory and comprehension performance. *Thinking Skill And Creativity*, 8(2013), pp. 11-24.
- Eisenhart, M.A. (1991). Conceptual framework for research. *Proceeding of the Tenth Annual Meeting Pscychology of Mathematics Education*. Virginia. USA.
- Erduran, S. & Maria, P. (2008). *Argumentation in science education*. London: Spinger Science.
- Erduran, S., Simon, S., & Osborne, J. (2004). Tapping into argumentation: Developments in the application of Toulmin's argument pattern for studying science discourse. *Science Education*, 88(6), pp. 915-933.
- Fraenkel, J. R. & Wallen, N. E. (2012). *How to design dan evaluate research in education*, (8<sup>th</sup> Ed.). Singapura: Mc Graw Hill.
- Ginanjar, S.W., Utari, S., & Muslim (2015) Penerapan model *argument driven inquiry* dalam pembelajaran IPA untuk meningkatkan kemampuan argumentasi ilmiah siswa SMP. *Jurnal Pengajaran MIPA*, 2015, 20(1), hlm. 32-37.
- Grimberg, B. & Hand, B. (2009). Cognitive path ways: Analysis of student written texts for science understanding. *International Journal of Science Education*, 31(4), pp. 503-521.
- Grooms, J., Enderle, P. & Sampson, V. (2015). Coordinating scientific argumentation and the next generation science standards through argument driven inquiry. *SUMMER 2015*, 24(1), pp 45-50.

Jasmi Roza, 2017

**PENERAPAN ARGUMENT DRIVEN INQUIRY BERBANTUAN ARGUMENT MAPS UNTUK MENINGKATKAN KEMAMPUAN KOGNITIF DAN MENGIDENTIFIKASI LEVEL ARGUMENTASI SISWA MA PADA MATERI GERAK HARMONIK SEDERHANA**

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

- Gultepe, N. & Kilic, Z. (2015). Effect of scientific argumentation on the development of scientific process skills in the context of teaching chemistry. *International Journal of Environmental & Science Education*, 2015, 10(1), pp. 111-132.
- Gunel, M., Hand, B., & McDermott, M. A. (2009). Writing for different audience: Effect on high school students' conceptual understanding of biology. *Learning and Instruction Journal*, 19(4). Pp. 354-367.
- Hake, R.R. (1998). Interactive-engagement vs traditional methods: A six-thousand-student survey of mechanics test data for introductory physics courses. *American Journal of Physics*, 66(1) (1998).
- Hand, B. & Prain, V. (2002). Teachers implementing writing-to-learn strategies in junior secondary science: A case study. *Science Education*, 86(6), pp. 737-755.
- Hanifah, U. (2016). *Pengaruh skill argument mapping terhadap hasil belajar fisika siswa melalui model discovery learning*. (Skripsi). Fakultas Keguruan dan Ilmu Pengetahuan, Universitas Lampung, Lampung. [Online]. Diakses dari <http://digilib.unila.ac.id/29963/3/SKRIPSI> pada tanggal 29 Desember 2016.
- Hasancebi, F.Y. & Kingir, S. (2012). Overview of obstacles in the implementation of the argumentation based science inquiry approach and pedagogical suggestions. *Mevlana International Journal of Education (MIJE)*, 2(3), pp. 79-94.
- Hasnunidah, N., Susilo, H., Irawati, M.H., & Sutomo, H. (2015). Argument-Driven Inquiry with scaffolding as the development strategies of argumentation and critical thinking skills of students in Lampung, Indonesia. *American Journal of Educational Research*, 2015, 3(9), pp. 1185-1192.
- Kanselaar, G., Erkens, G., Andriessen, J., Prangma, M., Veerman, A., & Jaspers. (2003). *Visualizing argumentation: Software tools for collaborative and educational sense-making, chapter designing argumentation tools for collaborative learning*. Springer. Verlag, London, UK.
- Key, C. W., Hand, B., Prain, V., & Collins, S. (1999). Using the Science Writing Heuristic as a tool for learning from laboratory investigations in secondary science. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 36(10), pp. 1065-1084.

- Kuhn, D. & Udell, W. (2003). The development of argument skills. *Journal child Development*, 74(5), pp. 1245-1260.
- Laken, D. (2013). *Calculating and reporting effect size to facilitate cumulative science: A practical primer for t-tests and Anovas*. Human Technology Interaction Group, Eindhoven University of Technology, Eindhoven, Netherlands.
- Lawshe, C. H. (1975). A quantitative approach to content validity. *Personnel Psychology*, (28), pp. 563-575.
- Lie, A. (2008). *Cooperative learning mempraktikkan cooperative learning di ruang-ruang kelas*. Grasindo: Jakarta.
- Lunetta, V. N., Hoffstein, A., & Clough, M. P. (2007). Learning and teaching in the school science laboratory: An analysis of research, theory and practice. In S. K. Abell & N. G. Lederman (Eds.), *Handbook of research on science education* (pp. 393-441). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. [Online]. Diakses pada tanggal 20 April 2017 dari [http://www.researchgate.net/publication/272680843\\_learning\\_and\\_teaching\\_in\\_the\\_school\\_science\\_laboratory](http://www.researchgate.net/publication/272680843_learning_and_teaching_in_the_school_science_laboratory)
- Makmun, A. S. (2004). *Psikologi pendidikan*. Bandung: Rosda.
- Muslim & Suhandi, A. (2012). Pengembangan perangkat pembelajaran fisika sekolah untuk meningkatkan pemahaman konsep dan kemampuan berargumentasi calon guru fisika. *Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika Indonesia*, 10(2), hlm. 174-183.
- Muslim. (2014). *Pengembangan program perkuliahan fisika sekolah berorientasi kemampuan berargumentasi calon guru fisika*. (Disertasi). Sekolah Pascasarjana, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Bandung.
- Oktafia, N. (2014). *Perbedaan penguasaan konsep antara siswa yang menggunakan concept mapping dengan argument mapping pada konsep kingdom fungi (jamur) (kuasi eksperimen di SMAN 11 Tangerang Selatan)*. (Skripsi). Universitas Islam Negeri Syarif Hidayatullah, [Online]. Diakses dari <http://Repository.uinjkt.ac.id/dspace/bitstream> pada tanggal 14 November 2016.
- Okumus, S. & Unal, S. (2012). The effects of argumentation skills in science. *Journal Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 46(2012) pp. 457-461.

- Park, S. K. (2016). Exploring the argumentation pattern in modeling-based learning about apparent motion of mars. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science & Technology Education*, 2016, 12(1), pp. 87-107.
- Pedaste, M., Maeots, M., Siiman, L., Ton D. J., Van Riesen, S., Kamp, E., Manoli, C., Zacharia, Z., & Tsourlidaki, E. (2015). Phases of inquiry-based learning: Definitions and the inquiry cycle. *Educational Research Review* 14(1), pp. 47–61.
- Peraturan Menteri Pendidikan Nasional Nomor 24 Tahun 2016 tentang Kompetensi Inti dan Kompetensi Dasar Pelajaran pada Kurikulum 2013 pada Pendidikan Dasar dan Pendidikan Menengah
- Polat, H., Fatma, B. E., & Aydoğan, N. (2016). The effect of the argumentation method on student success. *SHS Web of Conferences* 26. 01108 ERPA 2015.
- Rajasree, M. & Radhakrishnan, R. (2013). Effect of argument mapping technique on achievement in physics of student at secondary level. *Cognitive Discourse International Multidisciplinary Journal*, 1(1), 89-93.
- Rapanta, C. & Walton, D. (2016). The use of argument maps as an assesment tool in higher education. *International Journal of Education Research*, pp. 1-11
- Redhana, I. W. (2010). Pengaruh model pembelajaran berbasis peta argumen terhadap keterampilan berpikir kritis siswa pada topik laju reaksi. *Jurnal Pendidikan dan Pengajaran*, 43(17), Juli 2010, hlm. 141-148. Diakses tanggal 14 November 2016.
- Rienks, R., Heylen, D. & Weijden, E. (2015). *Argument diagramming of meeting conversation*. [Online]. Diakses dari [www.amiproject.org](http://www.amiproject.org) pada tanggal 29 Oktober 2016.
- Rigotti, E. & Morasso, G. S. (2009). Argumentation as an object of interest and as social and cultural resource. dalam n. muller mirza & a-n. perret-clermont (eds). *Argumentation and Education Theoretical Foundations and Practices* (hlm. 9-66). Newyork: Springer.
- Rinner, C. (2006). *Argumentation mapping in collaborative spatial decision making*. [Online]. Diakses tanggal 4 Mei 2017.
- Roza, J., Muslim, & Maknun, J. (2016). Identifikasi kemampuan kognitif dan level argumentasi ilmiah siswa (studi kasus di MAN 1 Kota Bandung). *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Fisika (SiNaFi) 2016. 17 Desember 2016 Bandung, Indonesia: Departemen Pendidikan Fisika 2016* (hlm. 202-206).

Jasmi Roza, 2017

**PENERAPAN ARGUMENT DRIVEN INQUIRY BERBANTUAN ARGUMENT MAPS UNTUK MENINGKATKAN KEMAMPUAN KOGNITIF DAN MENGIDENTIFIKASI LEVEL ARGUMENTASI SISWA MA PADA MATERI GERAK HARMONIK SEDERHANA**

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

[Online]. Diakses dari [www.fisika.upi.edu/sinafi/](http://www.fisika.upi.edu/sinafi/) pada tanggal 27 April 2017.

- Ruxton, G. (2006). *The unequal variance t-test is an underused alternative to student's t-test and the Mann-Whitney U test*. Oxford University Press on behalf of the International Society for behavioral Ecology.
- Sagala, S. (2003). *Konsep belajar dan makna pembelajaran*. Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Sampson, V. & Gleim, L. (2009). Argument-driven inquiry to promote the understanding of important concepts & practices in biology. *The American Biology Teacher*, 71(8), pp. 465-472.
- Sampson, V., Enderle, P., Grooms, J. & Walker, J. P. (2010). Argument-driven inquiry as a way to help students learn how to participate in scientific argumentation and craft written arguments, an exploratory study. *Science Education*, 95, pp. 217-257.
- Sampson, V., Enderle, P., Grooms, J., & Witte, S. (2013). Writing to learn by learning to write during the school science laboratory: helping middle and high school students develop argumentative writing skills as they learn core ideas. *The Science Education*, 97(5), pp. 643-670.
- Sampson, V., Grooms J., & Walker, J. (2009), Argument-driven inquiry: A way to promote learning during laboratory activities. *The Science Teacher*, 76(7), pp. 42-47.
- Saracaloglu, A. S., Aktamis, H., & Delioglu, Y. (2011). The impact of the development of prospective teacher's critical thinking skill on scientific argumentation training and on their ability to construct an argument. *Journal of Baltic Science Education*, 10(4) pp. 243-260.
- Serway, R.A. & Jewet, J.W. (2014). *Fisika untuk sains dan teknik physics for scientist and engineers with modern physics (Edisi 6 Buku 1)*. (hlm. 685-736). Salemba Teknika: Jakarta.
- Seung, E., Choi, A., & Pestel, B. (2016). University students' understanding of chemistry processes and the quality of evidence in their written arguments. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science & Technology Education*, 12(4), pp. 991-1008
- Siswanto, Kaniawati, I., & Suhandi, A. (2014). Penerapan model pembelajaran pembangkit argumen menggunakan metode saintifik untuk meningkatkan kemampuan kognitif dan keterampilan berargumentasi siswa. *Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika Indonesia*, 10(2), hlm. 104-116.

Jasmi Roza, 2017

**PENERAPAN ARGUMENT DRIVEN INQUIRY BERBANTUAN ARGUMENT MAPS UNTUK MENINGKATKAN KEMAMPUAN KOGNITIF DAN MENGIDENTIFIKASI LEVEL ARGUMENTASI SISWA MA PADA MATERI GERAK HARMONIK SEDERHANA**

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu



- Soekisno, A. R. B. (2015). *Peningkatan kemampuan argumentasi self efficacy matematis, dan sochiomathematical norm mahasiswa jurusan pendidikan matematika melalui pembelajaran berbasis masalah*. (Disertasi). Sekolah Pascasarjana, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Bandung.
- Sudjana. (2005). *Metode statistika*. Bandung : Tarsito.
- Sugiyono. (2015). *Statistik untuk penelitian*. Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Suminar, I., Muslim, & Liliawati, W. (2017). Integrated argument based inquiry with multiple representation approach to promote scientific argumentation skill. *AIP proceeding* 1848,050002 (2017). DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4983958>
- Tatar, N. (2012). Inquiry-based science laboratories: an analysis of preservice teachers' beliefs about learning science through inquiry and their performances. *Journal of Baltic Science Education*, 11(30), pp. 248-266.
- Toulmin, S. E. (2003). *The uses of argument*. Newyork: Cambridge University Press.
- Toth, E. E. & Graham, M. (2016). Preparing scientifically literate citizens: Pre-service teacher candidates' use of normative and logical thinking for critically examining news-media. *Electronic Journal of Science Education*, 20(1), (2016). Retrieved from <http://ejse.southwestern.edu>
- Viholainen, A. (2010). The view of mathematics and argumentation. *Mathematics Education Research Journal*, 17(2), pp. 39-68.
- Walker, J. P., Sampson, V., Grooms, J., Anderson, B., & Zimmerman, C. (2010). Argument driven inquiry: An instructional model for use in undergraduate chemistry labs. *Paper presented at the 2010 Annual International Conference of the National Association of Research in Science Teaching (NARST)*, Philadelphia, PA.
- Wenning, C. J. (2010). Levels of inquiry. using inquiry spectrum learning sequence to teach science. *Journal of Physics Teacher Education*. [Online]. 2010, 5(4), Summer 2010.
- Wibowo, F. C. (2012). *Penerapan model pembelajaran berbasis proyek untuk meningkatkan hasil belajar kognitif dan keterampilan berfikir kreatif*. (Tesis). Sekolah Pascasarjana, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Bandung.



- Wilson, F. R., Pan, W., & Schumsky, D.A. (2012). Recalculation of the critical values for Lawshe's content validity ratio. *Measurement and Evaluation in Counseling and Development*, 45(3), pp. 197-210.  
[Doi.10.1177/0748175612440286](https://doi.org/10.1177/0748175612440286)
- Zohar, A. & Nemet, F. (2002). Fostering students' knowledge and argumentation skills through dilemmas in human genetics. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 39, pp. 35–62.